

Physical activity, screen time and the COVID-19 school closures in Europe – an observational study in 10 countries

Viktoria A. Kovacs MD, PhD, Gregor Starc PhD, Mirko Brandes PhD, Monika Kaj PhD, Rok Blagus PhD, Bojan Leskošek PhD, Thomas Suesse PhD, Elek Dinya PhD, Benjamin C. Guinhouya PhD, Viviana Zito, Paulo Rocha, Benito Perez Gonzalez PhD, Anna Kontsevaya MD, PhD, Michal Brzezinski MD, Radu Bidiugan, Anita Kiraly, Tamás Csányi PhD & Anthony D. Okely PhD

To cite this article: Viktoria A. Kovacs MD, PhD, Gregor Starc PhD, Mirko Brandes PhD, Monika Kaj PhD, Rok Blagus PhD, Bojan Leskošek PhD, Thomas Suesse PhD, Elek Dinya PhD, Benjamin C. Guinhouya PhD, Viviana Zito, Paulo Rocha, Benito Perez Gonzalez PhD, Anna Kontsevaya MD, PhD, Michal Brzezinski MD, Radu Bidiugan, Anita Kiraly, Tamás Csányi PhD & Anthony D. Okely PhD (2021): Physical activity, screen time and the COVID-19 school closures in Europe – an observational study in 10 countries, *European Journal of Sport Science*, DOI: [10.1080/17461391.2021.1897166](https://doi.org/10.1080/17461391.2021.1897166)

To link to this article: <https://doi.org/10.1080/17461391.2021.1897166>



Accepted author version posted online: 27 Feb 2021.



Submit your article to this journal [↗](#)



Article views: 86



View related articles [↗](#)



View Crossmark data [↗](#)



Physical activity, screen time and the COVID-19 school closures in Europe – an observational study in 10 countries

Viktoria A. Kovacs^{a,*}, MD, PhD, Gregor Starc^b, PhD, Mirko Brandes^c, PhD, Monika Kaj^a, PhD; Rok Blagus^d, PhD, Bojan Leskošek^b, PhD, Thomas Suesse^e, PhD, Elek Dinya^f, PhD, Benjamin C. Guinhouya^g, PhD, Viviana Zito^h, Paulo Rochaⁱ, Benito Perez Gonzalez^j, PhD, Anna Kontsevaya^k, MD, PhD, Michal Brzezinski^l, MD, PhD, Radu Bidiugan^m, Anita Kiralyⁿ, Tamás Csányi^a, PhD, Anthony D. Okely, PhD^o

^a Hungarian School Sport Federation, Budapest 1063, Hungary

^b Faculty of Sport, University of Ljubljana, Ljubljana 1000, Slovenia

^c Leibniz Institute for Prevention Research and Epidemiology, BIPS GmbH, Bremen 28359, Germany

^d Institute for Biostatistics and Medical Informatics, Medical Faculty, University of Ljubljana, Ljubljana 1000, Slovenia

^e School of Mathematics and Applied Statistics, University of Wollongong, Keiraville NSW 2500, Australia

^f Institute of Digital Health Sciences, Semmelweis University, Budapest 1085, Hungary

^g Univ. Lille, ILIS, ULR 2694 – METRICS: Evaluation des technologies de santé et des pratiques médicales, Lille 59000, France

^h Confederation of Italian Association of Physical Education Teachers, Venezia 30123, Italy

ⁱ Portuguese Institute of Sport and Youth, Lisbon 1990-100, Portugal

^j Universidad Internacional de la Rioja, Logroño 26006, Spain

^k National Medical Research Centre for Therapy and Preventive Medicine, Moscow 127256, Russian Federation

^l Department of Paediatrics, Gastroenterology, Allergology and Paediatric Nutrition, Medical University of Gdansk, Gdansk 80-210, Poland

^m National Institute for Sport Research, Bucharest 22113, Romania

ⁿ University of Pécs, Doctoral School of Health Sciences, Pécs 7622, Hungary

^o Early Start and Illawarra Health & Medical Research Institute, University of Wollongong, Keiraville NSW 2500, Australia

Address correspondence to: Viktoria Anna Kovacs, MD PhD, Hungarian School Sport Federation, Munkacsy M. u. 17, Budapest, H-1063, Hungary. Phone: 00-36-30-634-5058. E-mail: kovacs.viktoria.anna@mdsz.hu.

ABSTRACT

To date, few data on how the COVID-19 pandemic and restrictions affected children's physical activity in Europe have been published. This study examined the prevalence and correlates of physical activity and screen time from a large sample of European children **during the COVID-19 pandemic** to inform strategies and provide adequate mitigation measures. An online survey was conducted using convenience sampling from 15 May to 22 June, 2020. Parents were eligible if they resided in one of the survey countries and their children aged 6-18 years. 8,395 children were included (median age [IQR], 13 [10-15] years; 47% boys; 57.6% urban residents; 15.5% in self-isolation). Approximately two-thirds followed structured routines (66.4% [95%CI, 65.4-67.4]), and more than half were active during online P.E. (56.6% [95%CI, 55.5-57.6]). 19.0% (95%CI, 18.2-19.9) met the WHO Global physical activity recommendation. Total screen time in excess of 2 hours/day was highly prevalent (weekdays: 69.5% [95%CI, 68.5-70.5]; weekend: 63.8% [95%CI, 62.7-64.8]). Playing outdoors more than 2 hours/day, following a daily routine and being active in online P.E. increased the odds of healthy levels of physical activity and screen time, particularly in mildly affected countries. In severely affected countries, online P.E. contributed most to meet screen time recommendation, whereas outdoor play was most important for adequate physical activity. Promoting safe and responsible outdoor activities, safeguarding P.E. lessons during distance learning and setting pre-planned, consistent daily routines are important in helping children maintain healthy active lifestyle in pandemic situation. These factors should be prioritised by policymakers, schools and parents.

Keywords: adolescents, children, coronavirus-19, sedentary behaviour

Introduction

Children have been largely spared from the direct health consequences of the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic.^{1,2} There are, however, other health effects that are equally concerning, particularly in the long-term.³ While it is a priority to mitigate immediate impact, the pandemic's longer-term effects can exacerbate the epidemic of childhood obesity and other chronic diseases and can increase mental health problems.^{4,5}

Governments in Europe responded to the first wave of the COVID-19 outbreak with various measures intended to slow down the transmission of the virus. These nation-wide restrictions, particularly the closure of schools, parks, playgrounds and recreational facilities, obviously reduced the possibilities of children for maintaining an active and healthy lifestyle. Schools offer important options for physical activity through commuting to and from home, physical education (P.E.), recess, and intra- and inter-mural sports.⁶ These opportunities – which might be the only organized and safe options for many children – were not possible as a result of school closures. Additionally, children lost access for physical activity and sports outside of school. Finally, via these school closures, daily schedules of children were disrupted. Schedules are important for children.⁷ According to the structured days hypothesis children engage in a greater number of unhealthy behaviours on less-structured days⁸ – the extended exposure to unstructured days during COVID-19 may also resulted in a less active lifestyle.

Current recommendations are that children and adolescents should accumulate at least 60 minutes of moderate- to vigorous-intensity physical activity (MVPA) and engage in no more than two hours of sedentary recreational screen time per day.^{9,10,11} Restrictions during COVID-19 were likely to impact on a child's ability to meet these recommendations. Available data from other countries such as China, Canada and U.S. or from small samples demonstrated decline in physical activity and remarkable increase in screen time during the first wave of the COVID-19 pandemic.¹²⁻¹⁵ However, knowledge is limited about the impact in Europe and about possible mitigations.

To understand the effects of the COVID-19 pandemic and resulting control measures on physical activity and screen time in Europe, we administered an online survey roughly 2 months after COVID-19 was declared a global pandemic by the World Health Organization.¹⁶ The survey aimed to examine physical activity and screen time among European school children during this period and to understand which factors may be associated with adherence to physical activity and screen time guidelines during these special circumstances. The focus was on those factors which can be influenced by decision makers, schools and families to be able to identify possible mitigation measures for the second wave. The *a priori* hypotheses were that milder severity of COVID-19 in countries, lower status of restrictions, not residing in a household under self-isolation, following a structured daily schedule, spending more time playing outdoors, and participating in online

physical education (P.E.) would be associated with meeting physical activity and screen time recommendations.

Materials and methods

Study design, sampling and ethical approval

This was a multi-national, cross-sectional study. Researchers from 6 countries with the highest COVID-19 case numbers in Europe were approached.¹⁷ Of these, the Russian Federation, Spain, Italy, Germany, and France agreed to participate. England refused to take part as they had their own national dataset.¹⁸ Researchers from 6 less affected countries were also invited, and they were all interested to contribute (Belgium (Flemish Region), Portugal, Romania, Hungary, Poland and Slovenia). Data collection methods were standardized across all countries. Due to time constraints and feasibility considerations, it was not possible to generate a representative sample within each country. Target recruitment was a minimum of 200 children per country collected via convenience sampling. Parents of children in the defined age group who resided in the participating countries were eligible to participate. The link to the questionnaire was disseminated via 2 communication channels: first, personal and professional contacts were used to ask principals and teachers to distribute the link within their school. Second, personal contacts and social media were used to invite parents directly, via Facebook, Twitter, and WhatsApp. All recipients were encouraged to further distribute the link. The survey was active in the countries for 7 to 18 days between 15th May and 22nd June, 2020 (**Supplementary Table 1**). Parents and caregivers were informed about the survey in their national language at the landing page of the distributed link. Ethical approval for multi-center study was obtained by the leading partner and the study protocol was approved by the Scientific and Research Ethics Committee of the Medical Research Council in Hungary (Approval Number: IV/5613-3/2020/EKU). Besides, each center informed the related local research and ethics committee about the study and its protocol.

Survey Instrument

A 7-day recall measure was used to assess the physical activity and screen time of the children. Questions regarding self-isolation and total screen time were taken from a similar online survey conducted in Canada.¹³ Question around daily scheduling was developed specifically for this study and asked ‘*Do you follow a structured schedule on weekdays since the COVID-19 outbreak and related restrictions?*’ Response options were ‘*Yes, on every day*’, ‘*Yes, mainly*’, ‘*Yes, rarely*’, or ‘*No, I do not have a schedule*’. Questions assessing physical activity included a single-item physical activity question and five PAQ(C) items

which were adapted to the special circumstances (i.e. distance learning).^{19,20} Specifically, the respondents were asked, '*In the last 7 days, on how many days have you done a total of 60 minutes or more of physical activity, which was enough to raise your breathing rate? This may include sport, exercise and brisk walking or cycling for recreation or to get to and from places.*' For online P.E. respondents reported whether they have participated in online lessons and if yes, how often were they very active during the online P.E. classes. The final questionnaire contained 20 items. For older children (>12 years) the questionnaire was self-administered. Parents of children aged ≤12 years were asked to help their child complete the questionnaire. The survey instrument is available as an online-only document (Text file in the **Supplement**).

Country contexts

A summary of regulations which were imposed at the national level in the participating countries at the time of data collection and which may be associated with participation in physical activity and screen time in children are listed in **Supplementary Table 1**.

At the time of the data collection, the Russian Federation was the third most affected country in the world with strict control measures and a stay-at-home order still in force in some regions. In countries that were suffering a serious but earlier outbreak such as Italy and Spain, lockdown restrictions started to ease by early May 2020. In France, the 55-day long mandatory home confinement with the closure of most public places ended on 11th May 2020 and the first phase of lockdown lifting had started. In Germany, although the number of cases were high, the mortality rate was relatively low. Restrictions started to lift in early May; outdoor sports without physical contact resumed and schools were re-opened with special rules. Portugal was in middle range in terms of case numbers and partly re-opened schools, while Poland and Romania were more conservative in this regard and kept schools closed nationwide. Hungary and Slovenia were the least affected among the participating countries in regard of the COVID-19 infection prevalence, but these countries implemented quite different approaches. In mid-May, Slovenia has already re-opened their playgrounds, parks and schools for younger children, and only the indoor facilities remained closed; meanwhile in Hungary all places including schools were closed with some re-openings occurring in the capital.

Treatment of data and analysis

Survey data were obtained from the online platforms, pooled, cleaned and imported for analysis using R language for statistical computing (R version 3.6.1; R Core Team, Vienna,

Austria). Data from Belgium were not used in this analysis as the target number of 200 respondents was not achieved. Children were classified as meeting the physical activity recommendation based on the single-item 60-minute MVPA question. As no international benchmark exists for school-aged children for total screen time, authors used the ‘no more than 2 hours/day’ cut off from the American Academy of Pediatrics.²¹ Based on the accessibility of public spaces, sports and recreational facilities, and the state of school closures, countries were categorized into 3 groups: 1) strict control measures (the Russian Federation), 2) lifting of the first phase of restrictions (Spain, Italy, Poland, Romania, Hungary), and 3) lifting of the second phase of restrictions (Slovenia, Germany, France, Portugal). **As case number in the first group was relatively low, group 1 and 2 were merged which resulted in a more balanced distribution.** Countries were also grouped according to the level of impact from COVID-19. This grouping considered the total case and total death numbers as at 31st May 2020, and the proportion of families who were under a self-isolation or quarantine order.¹⁷ The 5 most affected countries formed Group 1 (Spain, Italy, France, Russia, Portugal), while the 5 less impacted comprised Group 2 (Germany, Romania, Poland, Slovenia, Hungary).

Data were presented as frequencies (%) with 95% confidence intervals (CI, using Clopper-Pearson formula) or medians with corresponding 95% CI (using exact formula based on sign test) for categorical and continuous (ordinal) data, respectively. The difference between screen time on weekdays and weekends was tested with Wilcoxon signed-rank test with continuity correction, separately for each country. A nonparametric CI and an estimate for the pseudo-median for the difference of the location parameters was computed. The association between the outcome (meeting the recommendations for physical activity and screen time) and the predetermined independent variables (age, gender, urban-rural status, schedule, online P.E., quarantine or self-isolation, status of restrictions, level of COVID-19 severity, and outdoor play time on weekdays) was estimated with multivariate binary logistic regression with country ID as a random effect (including random slopes for residence, play, and schedule as suggested by the Wolf test). The analysis was also stratified by age (6-11 years and 12-18 years) and level of COVID severity. Results are presented as ORs with corresponding 95% CIs.

Results

Of the 8,997 respondents who completed the survey, 602 were excluded leaving a final analytic sample of 8,395 children (**Figure 1**). Due to the convenience sampling design,

information on the response rate and non-responders characteristics were unavailable. **Table 1** reports the characteristics of the sample. Girls were slightly overrepresented (53.1%), as were participants living in urban areas (57.6%) and those from Hungary (31.3%). In total, 15.5% of children and adolescents reported to be in self-isolation or quarantine (**Table 1**). Approximately two-thirds of children followed a structured schedule (66.4% [95%CI, 65.4-67.4]) and more than half were active sometimes, often or always during online P.E. lessons (56.6% [95%CI, 55.5-57.6]). Country-based descriptive statistics for physical activity and screen time are shown in **Table 2**. In most countries, less than 20% of children and adolescents met the physical activity recommendation. Slovenia and Romania had the highest proportion of sufficiently active children; and children in these countries spent the most time playing outdoor on weekdays and weekend days (**Table 1**). Slovenia also had the highest proportion of children who met the screen time recommendation. Around 70% of participants exceeded the recommended 2 hours/day of total screen time on weekdays in the whole sample, and just under two-thirds of participants exceeded the screen time recommendation on weekends.

Factors associated with meeting the physical activity recommendation

The status of restrictions, the extent to which a country was affected by the COVID-19 pandemic and being in quarantine or self-isolation were not associated with the odds for meeting the physical activity recommendation (**Table 3**). Compared with children and adolescents who did not follow a structured daily routine, those who did were more likely to meet the physical activity recommendation (OR=1.62 [95%CI, 1.24-2.13]). In the sub-analyses this was observed in the mildly affected countries but not in severely affected ones. Children and adolescents who played outdoors for more than 2 hours per day were more likely to meet the physical activity recommendation (OR=2.56 [95%CI, 1.98-3.32]). In strongly affected countries this was more apparent for adolescents than for children. Being active during online P.E. was also associated with healthy level of physical activity (OR=1.27 [95%CI, 1.12-1.44]) with this being observed in the strongly affected countries for adolescents but not for children.

Factors associated with meeting screen time recommendation

Children from mildly affected countries were more likely to meet the screen time recommendation as those who lived in severely impacted countries, particularly on weekdays when the difference in odds were more than threefold (OR=3.25 [95%CI, 2.38-4.45]) (**Table 3**). Residing in a country with lower level of restrictions also increased the

odds for healthy screen time habits (weekdays OR=1.42 [95%CI, 1.07-1.90] and weekend OR=1.74 [95%CI, 1.28-2.37], respectively), with this being more apparent among children than adolescents. Being in quarantine or self-isolation or time spent playing outside were not associated with meeting the screen time recommendation. Following a daily schedule increased the odds for meeting the screen time recommendation, but more so in countries mildly affected than in those strongly affected. Those who were active in online P.E. classes were more likely to meet the screen time recommendation than their respective reference group (weekdays OR=1.20 [95%CI, 1.08-1.38] and weekend OR=1.21 [95%CI, 1.09-1.34], respectively). On weekdays, this association was more apparent for children compared to adolescents. On weekends this association was not observed for children from strongly affected countries.

Discussion

To our knowledge, this is the first multi-national study to provide data on physical activity and total screen time in children in relation to the COVID-19 pandemic. Our data showed that, two months after the WHO declared COVID-19 as a global pandemic, 81% (95% CI, 80.1-81.8) of European students aged 6-18 years did not meet physical activity guidelines. We found commonly observed sex- and age-related differences.^{22,23} There was no clear pattern according to being in self-isolation or quarantine order. In addition, neither the severity of the COVID-19 pandemic nor the status of restrictions within a country influenced the odds to be sufficiently active.

Until the present analysis, no large set of multi-national data existed on the impact of COVID-19 pandemic on physical activity and screen time in children. Hall et al.²⁴ theoretically discussed the question of '*Is COVID-19 making the world move even less active than before?*'. As insufficient physical activity is already a global issue these authors highlighted that further decrease would be very concerning. Unfortunately, given the cross-sectional nature of our survey and the limited validity of self-reported data, we are not in a position to directly answer this question. Our data suggest, however, that as a whole the quantity and frequency of physical activity among European adolescents remained roughly at the same low level in May 2020 than before the pandemic.²⁵ In contrast, surveys conducted in March and April 2020 in China and Canada found considerable decrease in physical activity.^{13,15} This can be either due to the earlier collection of their data or to the regional differences in impact. In line with this latter idea, we found remarkable inter-country differences in Europe, and countries such as Spain, Poland, Germany, and Portugal

where, among boys only, the prevalence of insufficient activity increased compared to the pre-COVID-19 level estimated by the HBSC data (**Supplementary Figure 1**).²⁶

In 8 of the 10 countries, at least two thirds of participants exceeded 2 hours per day of total screen time on weekdays, demonstrating widespread usage of screen-based media during this period of restrictions and distance learning. The association with being in quarantine was not significant, but both high levels of restrictions and high COVID-19 severity decreased the likelihood of meeting the screen time recommendation. Although assessment methods in previous studies varied widely, our results seem to exceed the pre-pandemic values.²⁷ This finding is similar to what was shown in the Canadian and Chinese surveys, which both reported much higher screen time than before the pandemic.^{13,15} Similar to insufficient PA, increased screen time has been shown to be an independent predictor of adverse health outcomes,²⁸ meaning that future interventions need to be designed to mitigate this effect and to avoid this higher level of screen time becoming the new normal.

What are the responses that can support maintaining a healthy and active lifestyle among school-aged children during the second wave of the pandemic, which is currently being experienced globally? The prevalence of being characterized as sufficiently active was larger among children who reported playing outside for more than 2 hours/day. This finding aligns with recently published research from Canada and reinforces the evidence that time spent outdoors is associated with physical activity.²⁹ Children are more active outside than inside, and our data suggest that, despite the limited outdoor opportunities, this trend persisted during the outbreak. Thus, policy, parents and caregivers should be informed about the benefits of outdoor play and should be encouraged to facilitate and integrate at least 2-hours of outdoor time into the daily routines in a safe and responsible manner. Given the importance of outdoor play, closing outdoor facilities for physical activity should be considered only as the last resort during lockdowns.

Of interest was the higher odds of meeting the physical activity and screen time recommendations among children who followed a structured daily schedule. This is consistent with evidence showing that, among some groups such as adolescent girls, poor time management skills was associated with lower physical activity participation.³⁰ Others have found that in the periods with less structured time, such as summer holidays or weekends, children and adolescents tend to be less active, more sedentary and have longer periods of screen time.^{31,32} This suggests that setting daily routines by following the usual school schedule and organising the remaining daily time during home schooling might be

another promising intervention strategy to increase physical activity and limit sedentary screen time for the benefits of metabolic health in children and adolescents. Thus, pre-planned, segmented structures on a consistent manner and parent rules related to screens should be promoted in these situations to regulate unhealthy behaviors.

Being actively engaged in online P.E. was found to be an other important predictor of meeting the recommendations. This supports the literature showing a positive association between school P.E. programs and overall physical activity.^{33,34} Consequently, education policies should aim to mandate online P.E. be delivered by schools if remote learning is taking place. As schools build their remote teaching capacity, P.E. should be made a priority. Where schools are sending home lesson plans for math and grammar, they should also send home lesson plans for P.E. For schools or teachers who have the capacity, P.E. classes should be streamed online. The number of P.E. lessons should be at least the same as under non-pandemic conditions.

There were a number of limitations present in this study that should be considered when interpreting the findings. First, self- and parent-reported instruments were used to assess time spent in physical activity. Self-reported data are heavily prone to recall bias and social desirability.³⁵ Also, there were factors, like active breaks, which was difficult for the respondents to accurately interpret and report in relation to home schooling. Moreover, the cross-sectional and non-representative nature of the data limits the possibility of comparing our data to pre-COVID values (e.g. to the HBSC study results). The methodological standardization across survey centers, in which the same instruments were used in different cultural and language contexts, can also carry costs in terms of precision. This, together with the convenience sampling, may explain some of the between-country differences and the differences to pre-COVID data taken from the HBSC survey. Furthermore, the question on meeting the 1-hours of MVPA daily, taken from the HBSC study, presents a challenge of its own due to individual differences of experiencing fatigue between fit and unfit children.

Another weakness is the lack of details about the intensity of physical activity. We assume, that many of the high intensity activities (e.g. P.E. classes, sports club trainings) have been replaced by light or moderate intensity activities such as walking or jogging during the lockdowns. On this way, although the amount of physical activity remained the same, the intensity dropped which may led to an impaired cardiovascular fitness. **This deconditioning effect of the COVID-19 pandemic was detected in Autumn, 2020 in some countries in Europe (i.e. in Slovenia via their SLOfit monitoring system and in the UK –**

unpublished data), and also in a recently published small-scale study.³⁶ More work using more accurate methods such as accelerometer or heart rate monitor would be needed to capture the decrease in the intensity of physical activity in pandemic situation and to prove this theory. Also, the question about being in self-isolation or quarantine asked about the last seven days, however this period could have started later and lasted shorter and on this way it may happen that this variable might not fully capture the degree of reduced physical mobility. This would explain why we have not found any significant effect in this regard except for adolescents in mildly affected countries. Finally, in the absence of global guidelines for sedentary screen time for school-aged children and adolescents, we used the Australian and Canadian guidelines which recommend limiting sedentary recreational screen time to no more than 2 hours per day. However, the question we used in this study did not ask respondents to report recreational screen time. As such, it is likely that our values include time spent using screens for educational purposes and for attending online P.E. as well, which are outside the scope of these guidelines.

The current findings complement the growing evidence on the **prevalence of insufficient physical activity and unhealthy screen time** in children in reaction to the COVID-19 pandemic. We strongly advise parents, schools, and authorities to set up aggressive countermeasures, that incorporate the promotion of structured schedules, the safeguarding of P.E. lessons during distance learning, and the promotion of time spent outdoors. All these were found to make important contributions to increased physical activity and reduced screen time among European children and adolescents during the COVID-19 pandemic period.

Acknowledgements

We thank the children and parents who generously volunteered and participated in this survey. We are also grateful for the participating institutes for supporting the field work in the countries. In particular, we thank the Belgium partners around Herman Van Driessche, for their efforts in distributing the questionnaire, and we regret that we had to exclude the Belgium data from the final analysis. We gratefully acknowledge the technical help of Dr. Joao Breda and Stephen Whiting from the WHO European Office for Prevention and Control of Noncommunicable Diseases in setting up the survey team.

Declaration of Interest Statement

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Funding

There was no funding source for this study.

References

1. Bialek S, Gierke R, Hughes M, McNamara LA, Pilishvili T, Skoff T. Coronavirus Disease 2019 in Children - United States, February 12-April 2, 2020. *Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 2020; 69(14): 422–6. DOI: 10.15585/mmwr.mm6914e4.
2. Götzinger F, Santiago-García B, Noguera-Julián A, et al. COVID-19 in children and adolescents in Europe: a multinational, multicentre cohort study. *Lancet Child Adolesc Health* published online 25 June 2020. DOI: 10.1016/S2352-4642(20)30177-2.
3. United Nations. Policy Brief: The Impact of COVID-19 on children. April 15, 2020. Available at: https://unsdg.un.org/sites/default/files/2020-04/160420_Covid_Children_Policy_Brief.pdf [accessed 29.05.2020].
4. Loades ME, Chatburn E, Higson-Sweeney N, Reynolds S, Shafran R, Brigden A, Linney C, McManus MN, Borwick C, Crawley E. Rapid Systematic Review: The Impact of Social Isolation and Loneliness on the Mental Health of Children and Adolescents in the Context of COVID-19. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry* 2020 Jun 3:S0890-8567(20)30337-3. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2020.05.009.
5. Rundle AG, Park Y, Herbstman JB, Kinsey EW, Wang YC. COVID-19-Related School Closings and Risk of Weight Gain Among Children. *Obesity (Silver Spring)* 2020 Jun;28(6):1008-1009. DOI: 10.1002/oby.22813. Epub 2020 Apr 18. PMID: 32227671; PMCID: PMC7440663.
6. Capel S, Blair R. *Debates in Physical Education*. London: Routledge; 2019.
7. American Academy of Pediatrics. The Importance of Family Routines. 2007. Available at: <https://www.healthychildren.org/English/family-life/family-dynamics/Pages/The-Importance-of-Family-Routines.aspx> [accessed 30.05.2020].
8. Brazendale K, Beets MW, Weaver RG, et al. Understanding differences between summer vs. school obesogenic behaviors of children: the structured days hypothesis. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act* 2017; 14(1): 100. DOI: 10.1186/s12966-017-0555-2.
9. Bull FC, Al-Ansari SS, Biddle S, et al. World Health Organization 2020 guidelines on physical activity and sedentary behaviour. *Br J Sports Med* 2020; 54:1451-1462. DOI: 10.1136/bjsports-2020-102955.
10. Tremblay MS, Carson V, Chaput J-P, et al. Canadian 24-hour movement guidelines for children and youth: an integration of physical activity, sedentary behaviour, and sleep. *Appl Physiol Nutr Metab* 2016; 41(6): S311-S27. DOI: 10.1139/apnm-2016-0151.

11. Okely AD, Ghersi D, Hesketh KD, et al. A collaborative approach to adopting/adapting guidelines-The Australian 24-Hour Movement Guidelines for the early years (Birth to 5 years): an integration of physical activity, sedentary behavior, and sleep. *BMC Public Health* 2017; 17(5): 869. DOI: 10.1186/s12889-017-4867-6.
12. Dunton GF, Do B, Wang SD. Early effects of the COVID-19 pandemic on physical activity and sedentary behavior in children living in the U.S. *BMC Public Health* 2020 Sep 4;20(1):1351. DOI: 10.1186/s12889-020-09429-3. PMID: 32887592; PMCID: PMC7472405.
13. Moore SA, Faulkner G, Rhodes RE, et al. Impact of the COVID-19 virus outbreak on movement and play behaviours of Canadian children and youth: a national survey. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act* 2020; 17(1): 85. DOI: 10.1186/s12966-020-00987-8.
14. Pietrobelli A, Pecoraro L, Ferruzzi A, et al. Effects of COVID-19 Lockdown on Lifestyle Behaviors in Children with Obesity Living in Verona, Italy: A Longitudinal Study. *Obesity* 2020; 28(8): 1382–5. DOI: 10.1002/oby.22861.
15. Xiang M, Zhang Z, Kuwahara K. Impact of COVID-19 pandemic on children and adolescents' lifestyle behavior larger than expected. *Prog Cardiovasc Dis* 2020; S0033-0620(20): 30096–7. DOI: 10.1016/j.pcad.2020.04.013.
16. World Health Organization. WHO announces COVID-19 outbreak a pandemic. 2020. Available at: <https://www.euro.who.int/en/health-topics/health-emergencies/coronavirus-covid-19/news/news/2020/3/who-announces-covid-19-outbreak-a-pandemic> [accessed 03.05.2020].
17. European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. COVID-19 Coronavirus data. Available at: <https://data.europa.eu/euodp/en/data/dataset/covid-19-coronavirus-data> [accessed 04.05.2020].
18. Sport England. Children's experience of physical activity in lockdown. Insight July 2020. 2020. Available at: https://www.activecumbria.org/files/6715/9489/1306/Childrens_experience_of_physical_activity.pdf [accessed 07.06.2020].
19. Kowalski KC, Crocker PR, Donen RM. *The physical activity questionnaire for older children (PAQ-C) and adolescents (PAQ-A) manual*. Saskatoon: College of Kinesiology, University of Saskatchewan; 2004.

20. Scott JJ, Morgan PJ, Plotnikoff RC, Lubans DR. Reliability and validity of a single-item physical activity measure for adolescents. *J Paediatr Child Health* 2015; 51(8): 787–93. DOI: 10.1111/jpc.12836.
21. Council on Communications and Media. Media use in school-aged children and adolescents. *Pediatrics* 2016; 138(5): e20162592. DOI: 10.1542/peds.2016-2592.
22. Troiano RP, Berrigan D, Dodd KW, Masse LC, Tilert T, McDowell M. Physical activity in the United States measured by accelerometer. *J Paediatr Child Health* 2008; 40(1): 181–8. DOI: 10.1249/mss.0b013e31815a51b3.
23. McCormack LA, Meendering J. Diet and physical activity in rural vs urban children and adolescents in the United States: a narrative review. *J Acad Nutr Diet* 2016; 116(3): 467–80. DOI: 10.1016/j.jand.2015.10.024.
24. Hall G, Laddu DR, Phillips SA, Lavie CJ, Arena R. A tale of two pandemics: How will COVID-19 and global trends in physical inactivity and sedentary behavior affect one another? *Prog Cardiovasc Dis* 2020; S0033-0620(20): 30077–3. DOI: 10.1016/j.pcad.2020.04.005.
25. Guthold R, Stevens GA, Riley LM, Bull FC. Global trends in insufficient physical activity among adolescents: a pooled analysis of 298 population-based surveys with 1·6 million participants. *Lancet Child Adolesc Health* 2020; 4(1): 23–35. DOI: 10.1016/S2352-4642(19)30323-2.
26. Inchley J, Currie D, Budisavljevic S, et al. *Spotlight on adolescent health and well-being: Findings from the 2017/2018 Health Behaviour in School-Aged Children (HBSC) survey in Europe and Canada, International report*. Volume 2. Key data. Copenhagen: WHO Regional Office for Europe, 2020
27. Verloigne M, Loyen A, Van Hecke L, et al. Variation in population levels of sedentary time in European children and adolescents according to cross-European studies: a systematic literature review within DEDIPAC. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act* 2016; 13(1): 69. DOI: 10.1186/s12966-016-0395-5.
28. Tremblay MS, LeBlanc AG, Kho ME, et al. Systematic review of sedentary behaviour and health indicators in school-aged children and youth. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act* 2011;8(1):98. DOI: 10.1186/1479-5868-8-98.
29. Guerrero MD, Vanderloo LM, Rhodes RE, Faulkner G, Moore SA, Tremblay MS. Canadian children's and youth's adherence to the 24-h movement guidelines during the

COVID-19 pandemic: A decision tree analysis. *J Sport Health Sci* 2020; 9(4): 313–21. DOI: 10.1016/j.jshs.2020.06.005

30. Biddle SJ, Whitehead SH, O'Donovan TM, Nevill ME. Correlates of participation in physical activity for adolescent girls: a systematic review of recent literature. *J Phys Act Health* 2005; 2(4): 423–34. DOI: 10.1123/jpah.2.4.423.

31. Weaver RG, Armstrong B, Hunt E, et al. The impact of summer vacation on children's obesogenic behaviors and body mass index: a natural experiment. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act* 2020; 17(1):153. DOI: 10.1186/s12966-020-01052-0.

32. Fairclough SJ, Boddy LM, Mackintosh KA, Valencia-Peris A, Ramirez-Rico E. Weekday and weekend sedentary time and physical activity in differentially active children. *J Sci Med Sport* 2015; 18(4):444-9. DOI: 10.1016/j.jsams.2014.06.005.

33. Meyer U, Roth R, Zahner L, et al. Contribution of physical education to overall physical activity. *Scand J Med Sci Sports* 2013; 23(5): 600–6. DOI: 10.1111/j.1600-0838.2011.01425.x.

34. Kokkonen J, Yli-Piipari S, Kokkonen M, Quay J. Effectiveness of a creative physical education intervention on elementary school students' leisure-time physical activity motivation and overall physical activity in Finland. *Eur Phy Educ Rev* 2019; 25(3): 796–815. DOI: 10.1177/1356336X18775009.

35. Ekelund U, Tomkinson GR, Armstrong N. What proportion of youth are physically active? Measurement issues, levels and recent time trends. *Br J Sports Med* 2011; 45(11): 859–65. DOI: 10.1136/bjsports-2011-090190.

36. Dayton JD, Ford K, Carroll SJ, Flynn PA, Kourtidou S, Holzer RJ. The Deconditioning Effect of the COVID-19 Pandemic on Unaffected Healthy Children. *Pediatr Cardiol*. 2021 Jan 4:1–6. DOI: 10.1007/s00246-020-02513-w.

Table 1. Characteristics of survey respondents by country (6-18 years; n=8,395)

Country	Boys	Age, years ^a	Rural residents	Being in self-isolation or quarantine order in the last 7 days	Following a structured schedule mainly or every day	Being active in online P.E. sometimes, often or always	Playing outside more than 2 hours per day
Germany 241 (2.9)	140 (58.1)	9 (8–12) [9–10]	61 (25.3)	2 (0.8)	167 (69.3)	5 (2.1)	106 (44.2)
Hungary 2626	1243 (47.3)	13 (11–	772 (29.4)	77 (2.9)	1734 (66.0)	1593 (60.7)	1323 (50.4)

(31.3)		16 [13–14]					
Poland 523 (6.2)	264 (50.5)	10 (8–13) [10–11]	82 (15.7)	26 (5.0)	249 (47.6)	191 (36.5)	191 (36.5)
Russian Federation 315 (3.8)	150 (47.6)	11 (9–14) [10–12]	51 (16.2)	65 (20.6)	121 (38.4)	87 (27.6)	123 (39.1)
Slovenia 1897 (22.6)	802 (42.3)	12 (11–14) [12–12]	1586 (83.6)	305 (16.1)	1190 (62.7)	1312 (69.2)	1065 (56.1)
Spain 894 (10.6)	446 (49.9)	12 (9–14) [12–13]	209 (23.4)	328 (36.7)	713 (79.8)	561 (62.8)	242 (27.1)
France 209 (2.5)	116 (55.5)	12 (9–16) [11–14]	56 (26.8)	64 (30.6)	120 (57.4)	34 (16.3)	74 (35.4)
Italy 240 (2.9)	83 (34.6)	13 (11–17) [13–15]	137 (57.1)	48 (20.0)	145 (60.4)	84 (35.0)	86 (35.8)
Portugal 1156 (13.8)	558 (48.3)	13 (11–16) [13–13]	495 (42.8)	354 (30.6)	989 (85.6)	696 (60.2)	287 (24.8)
Romania 294 (3.5)	138 (46.9)	12 (11–14) [12–13]	113 (38.4)	32 (10.9)	149 (50.7)	186 (63.3)	195 (66.3)
All 8395 (100)	3940 (46.9)	13 (10–15) [12–13]	3562 (42.4)	1301 (15.5)	5577 (66.4)	4749 (56.6)	3692 (44.0)

Data are reported as No. (%). ^aMedian (interquartile range) [95% CI for median].

Table 2. Key study variables by country in school-aged children and adolescents (6-18 years; n=8,395)

	N u m b e r o f d a y s p e r w e e k m e e t i n g P A g u i d e l i n e ^b	Meeting 60- min MVPA guideline everyday ^a	Screen time – weekday s (hours) ^b	Meeting 2h or less screen time recommendation – weekdays ^a	Screen time – weeken d (hours) ^b	Meeting 2h or less screen time recommendation – weekend ^a	Diffrence in screen time between weekdays and weekend (95% CI)
Ge rm an y	3 (2 - 5) [3 - 4]	43 (17.8) [13.2-23.3]	2 (1-3.5) [2-2.5]	129 (53.8) [47.2-60.2]	2 (1.5- 3.5) [2-2.5]	128 (53.3) [46.8-59.8]	-0.25 (-0.50-0.00)
H un ga ry	4 (3 - 6) [4 - 4]	522 (19.9) [18.4-21.5]	3.5 (2-5) [3.5-4]	760 (28.9) [27.2-30.7]	3 (2-5) [3-3]	858 (32.7) [30.9-34.5]	0.25 (0.25-0.50)
Pol and	3 (2 - 5) [3 - 4]	90 (17.2) [14.1-20.7]	4 (3-6) [4-4.5]	93 (17.8) [14.6-21.3]	3 (2-5) [3-3.5]	172 (32.9) [28.9-37.1]	1.00 (0.75-1.25)
Ru ssi an Fe de rat io	3 (0. 5- 5) [2 - 3]	66 (21.0) [16.6-25.9]	5 (3-6) [5-6]	44 (14.0) [10.3-18.3]	4.5 (3-6) [4-5]	68 (21.6) [17.2-26.6]	1.00 (0.50-1.25)

n							
Sl ov en ia	5 (3 - 7) [5 - 5]	507 (26.7) [24.8-28.8]	2.5 (1.5- 4) [2-2.5]	924 (48.7) [46.4-51.0]	2 (1-3) [2-2]	1059 (55.8) [53.6-58.1]	0.50 (0.25-0.50)
Sp ai n	4 (2 - 6) [4 - 4]	162 (18.1) [15.7-20.8]	4 (2.5-7) [4-4]	198 (22.2) [19.5-25.0]	4 (2.5-5) [4-4]	183 (20.5) [17.9-23.3]	0.50 (0.25-0.50)
Fr an ce	3 (1 - 5) [2 - 3]	32 (15.3) [10.7-20.9]	4 (2.5-7) [4-5]	51 (24.4) [18.7-30.8]	4 (2-5.5) [3-4]	59 (28.2) [22.2-34.9]	0.75 (0.25-1.25)
Ita ly	3 (1 - 4) [2 - 3]	18 (7.5) [4.5-11.6]	4 (2.5- 5.5) [3.5-4]	49 (20.4) [15.5-26.1]	3 (2-5) [3-3]	87 (36.3) [30.2-42.7]	1.25 (0.75-1.50)
Po rtu ga l	3 (1 - 4) [3 - 3]	88 (7.6) [6.2-9.3]	4 (2.5-6) [4-4]	247 (21.4) [19.0-23.8]	3 (2-5) [3-3.5]	345 (29.8) [27.2-32.6]	1.00 (0.75-1.00)
Ro m an ia	4 (2 - 6) [4 - 4]	69 (23.5) [18.7-28.7]	4 (2.5-5) [3.5-4]	68 (23.1) [18.4-28.4]	3.5 (2-5) [3-4]	84 (28.6) [23.5-34.1]	0.50 (0.25-0.75)
All	4 (2 - 6) [4 - 4]	1597 (19.0) [18.2-19.9]	3.5 (2-5) [3.5-3.5]	2563 (30.5) [29.5-31.6]	3 (2-4.5) [3-3]	3043 (36.3) [35.25-37.3]	0.50 (0.50-0.50)

^aNo. (%) [95% CI for %]. ^bMedian (interquartile range) [95% CI for median].

Abbreviations: MVPA=moderate-to-vigorous physical activity; PA=physical activity.

Table 3. Multivariate logistic regression for meeting the physical activity and screen time recommendation

	All OR (95% CI) n=8,395	Mildly affected countries		Severely affected countries	
		6-11 years OR (95% CI) n=1,941	12-18 years OR (95% CI) n=3,640	6-11 years OR (95% CI) n=1,142	12-18 years OR (95% CI) n=1,672
Meeting PA recommendation					
Affected (mildly vs severely) ⁺	1.35 (0.92-1.97)	NA	NA	NA	NA
Restrictions (low level vs high level)	1.02 (0.60-1.71)	1.05 (0.80-1.38)	1.00 (0.66-1.51)	0.61 (0.26-1.42)	0.80 (0.44-1.46)
Quarantine order or self-isolation (being vs not being)	1.09 (0.91-1.29)	1.66 (1.01-2.73)	0.98 (0.74-1.30)	1.17 (0.77-1.78)	1.00 (0.73-1.37)
Structured daily schedule (mainly or every day vs rarely or not)	1.62 (1.24-2.13)	1.71 (1.24-2.36)	1.52 (1.12-2.06)	1.50 (0.74-3.03)	1.85 (0.94-3.67)
Online P.E. (sometimes, often or always vs hardly or never)	1.27 (1.12-1.44)	1.27 (1.01-1.59)	1.24 (1.03-1.49)	1.33 (0.89-1.99)	1.55 (1.13-2.14)
Outside play (more than 2 h/d vs 2 h/d or less)	2.56 (1.98-3.32)	2.75 (1.94-3.90)	2.29 (1.92-2.74)	2.04 (0.96-4.34)	2.53 (1.37-4.68)
Meeting ST recommendation during the week					
Affected (mildly vs severely) ⁺	3.25 (2.38-4.45)	NA	NA	NA	NA
Restrictions (low level vs high level)	1.42 (1.07-1.90)	2.59 (1.74-3.86)	2.03 (0.62-6.69)	2.14 (1.34-3.40)	0.73 (0.42-1.27)
Quarantine order or self-isolation (being vs not being)	1.07 (0.92-1.24)	1.59 (0.96-2.64)	1.02 (0.79-1.31)	0.82 (0.60-1.13)	1.22 (0.93-1.61)
Structured daily schedule (mainly or every day vs rarely or not)	1.57 (1.23-2.00)	1.84 (1.21-2.77)	1.55 (1.08-2.23)	1.27 (0.67-2.40)	1.61 (0.79-3.27)
Online P.E. (sometimes, often or always vs hardly or never)	1.20 (1.08-1.34)	1.46 (1.18-1.81)	1.17 (0.99-1.37)	1.42 (1.05-1.91)	1.15 (0.87-1.53)
Outside play (more than 2 h/d vs 2 h/d or less)	1.26 (0.99-1.60)	1.56 (1.15-2.12)	1.50 (0.94-2.39)	1.03 (0.69-1.53)	0.67 (0.40-1.13)
Meeting ST recommendation on weekend					
Affected (mildly vs severely) ⁺	1.48 (1.05-2.10)	NA	NA	NA	NA
Restrictions (low level vs high level)	1.74 (1.28-2.37)	2.43 (1.87-3.16)	2.46 (1.63-3.70)	1.64 (1.23-2.19)	1.30 (0.69-2.47)
Quarantine order or self-isolation (being vs not being)	1.01 (0.88-1.17)	1.36 (0.84-2.20)	1.00 (0.79-1.28)	0.81 (0.60-1.09)	1.10 (0.85-1.42)
Structured daily schedule (mainly or every day vs rarely or not)	1.64 (1.38-1.95)	1.55 (1.15-2.08)	1.60 (1.24-2.05)	1.56 (0.94-2.61)	1.41 (0.93-2.14)
Online P.E. (sometimes, often or always vs hardly or never)	1.21 (1.09-1.34)	1.30 (1.06-1.59)	1.26 (1.07-1.47)	1.04 (0.79-1.36)	1.30 (1.01-1.68)
Outside play (more than 2 h/d vs 2 h/d or less)	1.14 (0.95-1.35)	1.11 (0.90-1.37)	1.34 (1.01-1.77)	1.24 (0.83-1.85)	0.84 (0.56-1.25)

*all ORs are also adjusted for age, gender and residential status. ⁺included as a covariate in the model for the entire sample and used as a stratification variable in the subanalyses. Significant ORs are marked with bold letters. Mildly affected countries: Germany, Romania, Poland, Slovenia, Hungary. Severely affected countries: Spain, Italy, France, Russia, Portugal.

Abbreviations: NA=not applicable; PA=physical activity; ST=screen time.




Figure 1. Flow diagram of participants throughout the study

Abbreviations: PA=physical activity; ST=screen time. Mildly affected countries: Germany, Romania, Poland, Slovenia, Hungary. Severely affected countries: Spain, Italy, France, Russia, Portugal.

Supplemental online material

1. Survey questionnaire
2. Supplementary Table 1: Description of physical activity and sports related restrictive measures during the survey period in the participating countries.
3. Supplementary Figure 1: Percentage of children meeting the guidelines of at least 60 minutes of moderate-to-vigorous physical activity daily across survey countries in 2014, 2018 and during the COVID-19 pandemic. Data source for 2014 and 2018 is the HBSC study.

1. Survey questionnaire

Survey on the effects of COVID-19 outbreak and related home schooling on exercise and play behaviours in children

Dear Parent/Guardian,

We are aware that these days are hard for everyone. With schools and workplace closed our lives completely changed. This survey, led by the Hungarian School Sport Federation and implemented in nine countries, will assess how physical activity has been integrated with this new schedule for families across Europe. Your contribution will help to create a clear picture of the impact of self-quarantine and home schooling for children. We hope that this survey will provide important information that is needed by our decision makers.

Participation in this survey is voluntary and the questionnaire is anonymous. We will not collect any sensitive data about your child or family – e.g. name, identification number or date of birth. With your permission, older children (above 12 years) can complete the questionnaire by themselves. Younger children will need your help. There is no right or wrong answer for the questions as this is not a test. The goal is to understand what is happening when schools are closed, or attendance is restricted. Collected data will be safely stored, will be only used for research purposes and won't be given to any third party.

We are asking about your child's level of physical activity in the last 7 days (i.e. no matter who completes the form, answers should refer to the child's behaviour). This includes sports or dance that raise his/her breath or make his/her legs feel tired, or games that make he/she breathe hard, like tag, skipping, running, climbing, and similar.

Please answer all the questions as honestly and accurately as you can, and do not claim answers as you want them to be — this is very important.

The questionnaire includes 20 simple questions and takes approx. 15 minutes to complete.

In case of any further question you can contact:

please insert the name and e-mail address of the country contact

QUESTION	RESPONSE OPTION
General questions	
1. Age (years):	6-18
2. Grade:	
3. Sex:	Boy Girl
4. Place of residence:	Capital City Village
5. Postal code:	
6. Did you have any physical symptoms (e.g. fever, hard coughing, shortness of breath) in the last 7 days which made you unable to exercise?	Yes No
7. Do you have any disability or chronic illness which making you unable to exercise?	Yes No
8. Was your family in self-isolation or quarantine order in the last 7 days?	Yes No
9. Do you have a dog?	Yes No
10. Do you follow a structured schedule on weekdays since the COVID-19 outbreak and related restrictions? (e.g. 8:00 wake up, 9:00-10:00 outdoor play, 10:00-12:00 academic time, 12:00 lunch, etc.)	Yes, on every day Yes, mainly Yes, rarely No, I do not have a schedule

11. Did you attend classes inside your school building in the last 7 days?	Yes, on every weekday Yes, on 3-4 days Yes, on 1-2 days No, my school is closed, and all my lessons are online
11a. If yes, did you have P.E. classes in your school in the last 7 days?	Yes, on every weekday Yes, on 3-4 days Yes, on 1-2 days No
Exercise, play and sedentary behaviours	
12. In the last 7 days, on how many days have you done a total of 60 minutes or more of physical activity, which was enough to raise your breathing rate? This may include sport, exercise and brisk walking or cycling for recreation or to get to and from places. (<i>Check one only.</i>)	0 day 1 day 2 days 3 days 4 days 5 days 6 days 7 days
13. In the last 7 days, on average how much time per day did you play outside ? (<i>Please state your daily average for WEEKDAYS.</i>)	Less than 1 hour 1 hour 1.5 hours 2 hours ... 6 hours More than 6 hours
13a. In the last 7 days, on average how much time per day did you play outside ? (<i>Please state your daily average for WEEKENDS.</i>)	Less than 1 hour 1 hour 1.5 hours 2 hours ... 6 hours More than 6 hours
14. In the last 7 days, on average how many hours and minutes per day did you watch TV, use the computer, use social media and play inactive video games? (<i>Please state your daily average separately for WEEKDAYS.</i>)	Less than 1 hour 1 hour 1.5 hours 2 hours ... 6 hours More than 6 hours
14a. In the last 7 days, on average how many hours and minutes per day did you watch TV, use the computer, use social media and play inactive video games? (<i>Please state your daily average separately for WEEKENDS.</i>)	Less than 1 hour 1 hour 1.5 hours 2 hours ...
	6 hours More than 6 hours

<p>15. Have you done any of the following activities in the last 7 days? If yes, how many times? (<i>Mark only one circle per row.</i>)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Rope skipping - In-line skating - Walking for exercise - Bicycling - Jogging or running - Online aerobic lesson - Dance - Badminton - Skateboarding - Soccer - Basketball - Swimming - Trampoline - Other 	<p>No</p> <p>1-2 times</p> <p>3-4 times</p> <p>5-6 times</p> <p>7 times or more</p>
<p>15a. If you answered 'Other' in the previous question, please list those activities:</p>	
<p>Online P.E. classes</p>	
<p>16. In the last 7 days, during your online physical education (P.E.) classes, how often were you very active (playing hard, running, jumping, throwing, strengthening)? (<i>Check one only.</i>)</p>	<p>I don't do any online P.E.</p> <p>Hardly ever</p> <p>Sometimes</p> <p>Quite often</p> <p>Always</p>
<p>Active breaks</p>	
<p>17. In the last 7 days, what did you do most of the time between your online classes? (<i>Check one only.</i>)</p>	<p>Sat down (talking, reading, doing schoolwork)</p> <p>Stood around or walked around</p> <p>Ran or played a little bit</p> <p>Ran around and played quite a bit</p> <p>Ran and played hard most of the time</p>
<p>After home schooling and evenings</p>	
<p>18. In the last 7 days, on how many days after learning or in the evening, did you do sports, dance, or play games in which you were very active? (<i>Check one only.</i>)</p>	<p>None</p> <p>1 day</p> <p>2 or 3 days</p> <p>4 days</p> <p>5 days</p>
<p>Weekend</p>	
<p>19. On the last weekend, how many times did you do sports, dance, or play games in which you were very active? (<i>Check one only.</i>)</p>	<p>None</p> <p>1 time</p> <p>2 — 3 times</p> <p>4 — 5 times</p> <p>6 or more times</p>

Change in movement and play behaviours

<p>20. Compared to before the COVID-19 outbreak and related restrictions: (Mark only one circle per row. Answers should refer to the child's behaviour.)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - I walk or bike in the neighbourhood ... - I am doing physical activities or sport <u>outside</u> ... - I am doing physical activities or sport <u>inside</u> ... - I play <u>outside</u> ... - I play <u>inside</u> ... - I watch TV, movies, use the computer for leisure ... - I use social media ... - I do other sedentary leisure activities not in front of screens ... - Our family time spent in physical activity is ... - Our family time spent in sedentary behaviours is ... 	<p>a lot less. a little less. same. a little more. a lot more.</p>
--	--

Supplementary Table 1. Description of physical activity and sports related restrictive measures during the survey period in the participating countries.

Country	Data collection period	Schools	Playgrounds	Parks	Outdoor exercise	Indoor sports	Fitness clubs and swimming pools	Sports training for professionals, amateurs and for recreation	Delivery of P.E.
GROUP I. STRICT CLOSURE									
Russian Federation*	21 May – 6 June	closed; distance learning was ended in mid-May	closed in several regions	closed in several regions	not allowed in several regions	not allowed	closed	not allowed	varied widely by schools and teachers (only online)
GROUP II. FIRST PHASE OF LIFTING RESTRICTIONS									
Hungary	15-31 May	closed	<u>in Budapest</u> : re-opened on 18 May ^a <u>outside Budapest</u> : open ^a	<u>in Budapest</u> : re-opened on 18 May ^a <u>outside Budapest</u> : open ^a	<u>in Budapest</u> : restrictions lifted on 18 May ^a <u>outside Budapest</u> : allowed ^a	not allowed	closed	allowed	varied widely by schools and teachers (only online)
Spain*	15-31 May	closed	closed	re-opened on 2 May ^a	<u>walking</u> : allowed from 26 April ^b <u>exercise</u>	not allowed	closed	allowed from 2 May ^{a,c} restrictions were further lifted on 18	varied widely by schools and teachers

					: allowed from 2 May ^{a,c}			May ^d	(only online)
Poland	22-31 May	closed	closed	open ^a	allowed ^a	not allowed	closed	allowed ^a	varied widely by schools and teachers (only online)
Italy*	22-31 May	closed	re-opened on 4 May ^a	re-opened on 4 May ^a	allowed from 4 May ^e	not allowed	re-opened on 25 May ^f	only outdoor	varied widely by schools and teachers (only online)
Romania	22-31 May	closed	closed	re-opened on 15 May ^a	allowed ^g	not allowed	closed	allowed	varied widely by schools and teachers (only online)
Country	Data collection period	Schools	Playgrounds	Parks	Outdoor exercise	Indoor sports	Fitness clubs and swimming pools	Sports training for professionals, amateurs and for recreation	Delivery of P.E.
GROUP III. SECOND PHASE OF LIFTING RESTRICTIONS									
France	18-31 May	<u>Primary</u> : re-opened on 11 May <u>Secondary</u> : re-opened on 18 May ^h	open ^a	open ^a	allowed ^a	allowed ^d	closed	allowed	not allowed
Portugal	18 May – 4 June	partly re-opened on 18 May ⁱ	re-opened on 18 May ^a	open ^{a,j}	allowed ^{a,j}	not allowed	closed	allowed ^{j,k}	only for grades 1 to 9 one lesson per week via TV
Slovenia	25-31 May	partly re-opened	open	open	allowed	allowed	closed	allowed	<u>grades 1 to 3</u> : outside

		ⁿ							^a other grades: online
German y[*]	11-22 June	partly re- opened ^o	open ^a	open ^a	allowed ^a	partly allow ed	Fitness clubs: re- opened on 8 June. Swimm ing pools: closed	allowed ^a	classes took place with restricti ons

Data collection period refers to the days when the survey was open, and the questionnaire was referring to the 7-day prior completion. Countries are grouped into 3 categories based on the phase of lifting restrictions. ^{*} differences can occur between Regions. ^a with physical distancing. ^b one hour per day, no farther than 1 km from home, maximum 3 children and 1 parent. ^c train alone. ^d up to 10 persons. ^e only for individuals alone, no farther than 200 metres from home. ^f with very strict regulations. ^g up to 3 persons. ^h up to 15 students per classroom. ⁱ open for grades 11 and 12. ^j be alone or in groups of up to 5 persons. ^k only outdoor, and for 1st league of professional football. ⁿ open for grades 1 to 3. ^o classes are splitted into 2 groups and visiting schools alternately by week. Distance learning running in parallel for those who are at home.

Supplementary Figure 1. Percentage of children meeting the guidelines of at least 60 minutes of moderate-to-vigorous physical activity daily across survey countries in 2014, 2018 and during the COVID-19 pandemic. Data source for 2014 and 2018 is the HBSC study.²⁶

